## **REVIEW OF THE ARTICLE** Title of the article:

Registration number:\_\_\_\_\_

N₂		Yes	No	Comment
3/	Questions to the reviewer			
П				
1	Does the topic of the article correspond to			
	the scientific profile of the journal?			
2	Is the topic of the article scientifically			
	relevant and practically useful?			
3	Does the title of the article express the			
	meaning and purpose of this article?			
4	Does the introduction have clear goals?			
5	Is scientific reasoning logical and			
	convincing?			
6	Is the research methodologically correct			
	presented? Give possible suggestions in case			
	you think that some corrections and			
	specification are needed.			
7	Does the article contain a scientific			
	contribution (novelty, hypothesis) and is this			
	clearly described in the article?			
8	Are the findings fully and accurately			
	reflecting the results of the research? Do			
	they show that they are new and offer			
	suggestions for further researches?			
9	Can some parts of the article be shortened,			
	deleted, expanded, or redone?			
10	Will you recommend some clarifications in			
	terms of style and language?			
	Does the amount, quality and expediency of			
	references to the recommended literature			
	satisfy you?			

## Additional comments / wishes / recommendations:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

## **Reviewer's recommendations:**

Recommend to print -

Do not recommend to print -

Recommend to print after refinement -

«\_\_\_» \_\_\_\_ 20 \_\_\_\_\_ (signature) \_\_\_\_\_ (Surname and first name.)